

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/595,003	12/05/2005	Terje Moldestad	P17016-US1	. 1058
27045 7590 02/02/2007 ERICSSON INC.		EXAMINER		
6300 LEGACY DRIVE			TRINH, SONNY	
M/S EVR 1-C-11 PLANO, TX 75024			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1 21 11 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· ·		2618	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		02/02/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•		10/595,003	MOLDESTAD ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Sonny TRINH	2618			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication a		correspondence address			
Period fo	or Reply					
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. In the provision of the provision of the maximum statutory period period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute the provision of the provision o	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind In such that the desired state of the such that	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05</u>	December 2005				
2a)□	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	nis action is non-final.				
3)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
,	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims		,			
4)⊠	Claim(s) 12-17 is/are pending in the applicati	ion.	8 8			
	.4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
. 5)□	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>12-17</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.	·			
10)🛛	The drawing(s) filed on <u>12/05/05</u> is/are: a)	accepted or b)⊠ objected to by th	ie Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	ection is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119	•	•			
		n priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119(a))-(d) or (f)			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri	ority documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage			
	application from the International Bure	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* S	See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	st of the certified copies not receive	ed.			
•						
Attachmen	t(s)		: 1			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate			
) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:					
. apc						

Application/Control Number: 10/595,003

Art Unit: 2618

DETAILED ACTION

Current Status:

1. This Office Action is in response to the application filed 12/05/2005. Claims 12-17 are pending. Claims 1-11 are canceled.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to because in figure 1, boxes MSC C, MSC A, and MSC B are not clear. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 12-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pierson, Jr. (hereinafter "Pierson"; Patent Number 6,633,566) in view of Ferenc et al. (hereinafter "Ferenc"; U.S. Patent Number 4,962,497).

Regarding claim 12, Pierson discloses a method, apparatus and computer program product for interfacing a TDM link with a cell-switched network (abstract). Pierson further discloses a method for transporting time division multiplexed traffic over packet switched networks between transmitting parties comprising the steps of compressing time division multiplexed traffic by removing idle timeslot data from said time division multiplexed traffic (abstract, claim 1, summary of the invention in column 3 line 44 to column 4 line 41).

However, Pierson does not explicitly disclose the step of adding signalling data to said time division multiplexed traffic regarding which idle timeslot data has been removed. In an analogous art, Ferenc teaches a multimode circuit and packet switching system (abstract). Ferenc further teaches the step of adding signalling data to said time division multiplexed traffic regarding which idle timeslot data has been removed (column 11 lines 3-52). Since Time Division Multiplexed (TDM) slots on the PCM bus has slot 0 Art Unit: 2618

time aligned with the PCM for synchronization pulses, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made to incorporate the data inserting to the idle slot, as taught by Ferenc, into the system of Pierson. The motivation for doing so would be to increase bandwidth by eliminating wasted timeslot.

Regarding **claim 13**, Pierson further teaches the step of encapsulating compressed time division multiplex frames into data packets and forwarding the data packets over the packet switched network (claims 1, 12, 21).

Regarding **claim 14**, Pierson further teaches that the packet switched network type is selected from the group consisting of: Asynchronous Transfer Mode (figures 1, 4-5, claim 1, summary of the invention).

Regarding claims 15-17, these method claims specify the receiving method versus the transmit method of claims 12-14 and are inherent in the teaching of Pierson and Ferenc so that the data can be recovered (for additional information, please see claims 19-22 of Pierson).

CONCLUSION

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sonny TRINH whose telephone number is 571-272-7927. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

Application/Control Number: 10/595,003

Art Unit: 2618

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

Page 5

supervisor, Edward URBAN can be reached on 571-272-7899. The fax phone number

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

SONNÝTRINH PRIMARY EXAMINER

1/25/07